

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/615,652

Applicant(s)

SHIOTA ET AL.

Examiner

Petar A. Hruskoci

Art Unit

1724

All Participants:(1) Petar A. Hruskoci.(2) Jay Williams Reg. No. 48306.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:***Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 1/20/04***Claims discussed:***All***Prior art documents discussed:***Prior Art of Record***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Amendments to the claims were suggested to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of pages 14-19 of the specification. Mr. Williams agreed to FAX a supplemental amendment for formal consideration.***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)